PROPOSAL EVALUATION

IRWM Grant Program - Planning Grant, Round 1, FY 2010-2011

Applicant	Northeastern California Water	County	Siskiyou, Modoc, Shasta,
	Association		Lassen
Project Title	Upper Pit River Watershed Regional	Grant Request	\$649,713
	Planning Grant Application	Total Project Cost	\$828,953

<u>Project Description</u> The Upper Pit River Watershed Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (Upper Pit IRWMP) will be prepared by The Upper Pit IRWMP's regional water management group (RWMG). The plan will include the identification and ongoing resolution of water-related issues and conflicts, convening of a region-wide local water and land-use planning conference, a model-based evaluation of the region's climate change vulnerabilities, and a detailed project development and integration process. One of the focuses of the plan will be to develop a strategy for ensuring involvement of the DACs through public outreach campaigns across the region. General public participation will also be increase through the creation of new communication systems.

Evaluation Summary

Scoring Criterion	Score	
Work Plan		12
DAC Involvement		10
Schedule		8
Budget		6
Program Preferences		5
Geographic Balance		0
	Total Score	41

- ➤ Work Plan The work plan generally covered the required topics and provided a good approach to the development of an IRWMP. However, it lacks detail as to regional issues, and the scope of work was somewhat generic. The intended result or product was unclear from the description on some tasks. For example, Task 2.2.2-the result of this task is unclear-what sort of materials are being developed; Task 3.2, Confirm IRWMP Boundary, it is unclear if this is to be done or if it is just for discussion.
- **DAC Involvement** The work plan lays out the process the applicant will use to facilitate and support DAC's within the IRWM region.
- > <u>Schedule</u> The schedule is consistent with the work plan and budget and is adequate on a per task level. However, it is not possible for the reviewers to determine if the entire project can be completed as the links between tasks are not provided.
- ➤ <u>Budget</u> The budget is missing some information and a 25% funding match was not provided. The line item budget sheets do not reflect the entire budget and should have included the match funds by task and subtask. Task 2.3.4 is not included in the budget; task 2.4 is shown twice, task 7.4 is missing.
- Program Preference The proposal addresses 5 program preferences (Equitable distribution of Benefits, Regional Projects, Resolve water issues and conflicts, Integrate water management and land use management and Climate change).
- Geographic Balance Not Applicable